

Amendments to the Specification:

On page 1, please replace the last full paragraph beginning with "The above conventional..." which bridges page 5 in its entirety with the following amended paragraph.

The above conventional de-dusting brush has some shortcomings as follows:

1. The inner casing 20 can be moved to be located in the restricting hole 13. Under such circumstance, the brush body 30 is totally moved out of the outer casing 10 and exposed to outer side. However, when assembled, a user needs to press the restricting plate 21 and the restricting key 22 and simultaneously aim the restricting key 22 at the slide slot 12 of the outer casing 10. Accordingly, the pattern of the de-dusting brush is limited.
2. When assembled, a user needs to press the restricting plate 21 and the restricting key 22 and simultaneously aim the restricting key 22 at the slide slot 12 of the outer casing 10. It is inconvenient for the user to perform such operation.
3. The brush body 30 is totally exposed to outer side or totally hidden. Therefore, it is impossible to vary the size of the brush body 30 to clean a differential face or depth.
4. When cleaning a differential face or depth, a user needs to hold or pinch the brush body 30 with a hand to reduce the volume thereof. Therefore, it is inconvenient to clean the differential face with such de-dusting brush.

Appl. No. 10/035,131
Amendment dated: October 27, 2003
Reply to OA of: July 8, 2003

5. When the restricting key 22 is located in the restricting hole 13, a gap still exists so that the brush body will swing. This makes it ~~untrue~~ inconvenient to clean a face with such de-dusting brush.

Appl. No. 10/035,131
Amendment dated: October 27, 2003
Reply to OA of: July 8, 2003

Amendments to the Drawings:

Please replace Figure 6 with the proposed drawing correction labeled in red and attached at the end of this Amendment.